

## **STANDARDS COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT 2018**

REPORT OF: Solicitor & Head of Regulatory Services  
Contact Officer: Tom Clark, Solicitor & Head of Regulatory Services & Monitoring Officer  
Email: Tom.Clark@midsussex.gov.uk - Tel: 01444 477459  
Wards Affected: All  
Key Decision: N/A  
Report to: Council on 27<sup>th</sup> March 2019

---

### **Purpose of Report**

1. To present to Council the annual report of the Standards Committee for 2018

### **Summary**

2. There have been three meetings of the Standards Committee where current issues have been robustly debated. There have been very few Code of Conduct complaints in 2018 and none have required any investigation. This may be due to lack of Neighbourhood Plan activity in the Parish Councils in 2018. The report confirms that the Government are being advised to tighten up the Standards regime and provide some form of sanction other than the remote possibility of a criminal prosecution.

### **Recommendations**

3. **Members are recommended to note the report.**
- 

### **Background**

- 4 Mid Sussex District Council has a similar Members Code of Conduct to that of West Sussex County Council and about half of the parishes in Mid Sussex. Some Parish Councils have a more relaxed Code of Conduct limited to the Nolan principles. Membership of the Standards Committee has remained unchanged with Councillor Pete Bradbury as Chairman and Town Councillor Chris Ash-Edwards as Vice-Chairman.

### **Complaints Received.**

5. There have been a few complaints received but these have generally related to activities of members outside their role as councillors. The fact that complaints are received indicates that the view of some members of the Standards Committee that the public believe once a councillor always a councillor should apply. It is not the position at law but maybe a view held by a fair proportion of the population.

Following the elections on May 2<sup>nd</sup> 2019 it is envisaged there will be a workshop style training course for all District Members but on the understanding this training should be given to all new District members. There will be another event by invitation for Town/Parish Councils and their clerks. This is to seek to ensure that all Councils mainstream Code of Conduct issues and thereby avoid serious disputes developing which are expensive and time consuming to sort out.

### **Standards Committee Meetings in 2018.**

6. At the March meeting the Standards Committee considered proposals put forward by the Committee on Standards in Public Life to review local government ethical standards. The Standards Committee made comments on these proposals including a request for a prescribed standard Code of Conduct and some sanctions to impose should serious breaches be identified. As a result of making these representations your Monitoring Officer was invited to a round table meeting with representatives from the Committee on Standards in Public Life and other representatives from County, District, Unitary and Parish Councils to discuss how matters could be improved.

On the 30<sup>th</sup> January 2019 the Committee on Standards in Public Life published their report. They are suggesting a voluntary Code of Conduct for Councils to follow and if West Sussex County Council indorse this, it is likely Mid Sussex District Council will do the same. This is because there is a recommendation all Councils in a particular area should have the same Code of Conduct so that the conflicts for the public we described in our representations do not arise. There is a proposal which would allow an elected member to be suspended without remuneration for up to 6 months. There is no proposal to have a central body such as the Standards for England which we had in the pre-Localism Act 2011 regime. These proposals will need primary legislation to become effective. It is unlikely such legislation will come through before 2020 and therefore the “new” District Council will operate under existing arrangements.

7. At the June meeting the Standards Committee considered the Leominster Town Council case. It was clear from that case that any residual general power a parish or town council had to investigate Code of Conduct breaches was moved to the appropriate District or Borough Council by the Localism Act 2011. Hence the investigation purported to be carried by the Town Council had no validity. It was noted that disagreements between clerks and a certain Member or Members of a Town or Parish Council are a common occurrence and the Committee on Standards in Public Life have indicated that should a Code of Conduct complaint be required this should come from the Chairman of the Town or Parish Council and not from the allegedly bullied clerk.
8. At the December meeting the Standards Committee considered a number of current issues. They noted that the Government had indicated they would bring in requirements for candidates to be free from any sex offender registration or anti-social behaviour order to be eligible to be a candidate in the May 2019 elections. Other events in Parliament seem to have delayed the enactment of this change and therefore the eligibility criteria are the same in 2019 as they were in 2015 save for the fact postal addresses no longer need to appear in public given the levels of harassment against individual Members by some members of the public.

The proposals from the Committee on Standards in Public Life were still awaited in December. There was speculation there would be some common code, there would be some sanctions made available and there would be a discussion about social media abuses all of which form part of the published report.

In previous years and in some complaints this year the difficult area of formulating planning policy both at District and Neighbourhood Plan level has given issues for District members and Town/Parish clerks and advice has been given to both.

The Standards Committee also looked at two recent cases. The first from Coventry indicated that when members are completing their registration of interest form they must do so thoroughly and not in an incomplete way. The second complaint involved alleged sexual harassment by the former Leader of Devon County Council and the disciplinary action taken by the Council was upheld by the High Court.

### **Policy Context**

9. Sections 26 – 37 inclusive of the Localism Act 2011 set out the requirements for District Councils to promote high standards of elected member conduct within its own membership and membership of the Town & Parish Councils in its area. There are now proposals to change that legislation. The effect of social media harassment on candidates and elected members has become a serious issue and training will be provided alongside the code of conduct training in how best to manage what may be a shock to some newly elected members.

### **Other Options Considered.**

10. At the present time a dedicated committee for Standards matters is not required. The Committee for Standards in Public Life however suggests that a dedicated Standards Committee should be a requirement which we already have at Mid Sussex.

### **Financial Implications**

11. Complaints are expensive to investigate and therefore training with both District and Parish/Town members following the elections in May 2019 is important.

### **Risk Management Implications**

12. Many complaints would put pressure on the District in terms of personnel and costs.

### **Equality and customer service implications**

13. Complaints are requested to be in writing. If a complainant finds difficulty in writing, officer assistance can be given.

### **Other Material Implications.**

14. On the website details are given on how complaints are dealt with both for a complainant and for the Member in receipt of the complaint. These will be outlined as part of the Member training.

### **Background Papers.**

Standard Committee papers from 2018. The report from the Committee on Standards in Public Life on Local Government Ethical Standards published on 30<sup>th</sup> January 2019.